

Appendix 1

Table 1 *Model fit comparison across analyzed models*

Phase	Scale	Model	χ^2	df	p	CFI	RMSEA [90% CI]	SRMR	AIC	BIC	Preliminary decision about data-model correspondence based on AFI
1	The full version of the scale	Default two-factor	546.9	169	< .001	.86	.08 [.07, .09]	.10	27,410.50	27,578.20	Not acceptable
		Default two-factor (multi-group)	771.57	338	< .001	.85	.08 [.08, .09]	.10	27,491.50	27,990.64	Not acceptable
		Three-factor (multi-group)	744.74	334	< .001	.86	.08 [.07, .09]	.10	27,462.71	27,978.22	Not acceptable
		Default two-factor model controlling for acquiescence bias	588.51	336	< .001	.92	.06 [.06, .07]	.08	27,259.261	27,766.583	Borderline/acceptable
	The short version of the scale	Default two-factor	127.83	53	< .001	.96	.06 [.05, .08]	.07	16,508.70	16,531.23	Acceptable
		Default two-factor (multi-group)									
		Three factor (multi-group)	167.95	102	< .001	.96	.07 [.04, .08]	.06	16,552.25	16,871.38	Acceptable
		Default two-factor model controlling for acquiescence bias	105.44	52	< .001	.97	.05 [.04, .07]	.07	16,483.158	16,589.532	Acceptable
2	The short version of the scale	Default two-factor model	304.14	53	< .001	.95	.08 [.07, .09]	.08	32,628.459	32,752.071	Borderline/Acceptable
		Default two-factor model controlling acquiescence bias	199.907	52	< .001	.97	.06 [.05, .06]	.07	32,486.375	32,614.795	Acceptable
	Re-examination of Siliva (2021)	Default two-factor model	380.987	53	< .001	.925	.08 [.08, .09]	.07	46,472.732	46,599.816	Borderline/acceptable
		Default two-factor model controlling acquiescence bias	388.960	52	< .001	.926	.08 [.08, .09]	.06	46,466.141	46,598.309	Borderline/acceptable
	Reference	Values considered acceptable	—	—	> .05	≥ .90, optimally ≥ .95	< .08 [.00, .08] optimally < .05 [.00, .05]	≤ .08	Smaller	Smaller	

Note. Robust fit indices are reported